

AI Test Results from testers.ai

B

The HOME page of Testers.ai presents a mixed bag. While there's no core functionality breakdown reported, there are a concerning number of usability and accessibility issues that detract from the user experience. The ambiguous link text ('Learn More →') and insufficient focus indicators for interactive elements create usability friction, particularly for users relying on keyboard navigation or screen readers. The presence of layout issues such as horizontal scrolling on mobile and avatar cut-off further impacts usability. The outdated copyright year and suspicious timestamp in the QualityWorks logo URL may detract from the site's credibility. Addressed the accessibility issues would improve accessibility and WCAG compliance.

Best Aspects


The lack of reported stability or performance issues is a significant plus, suggesting a solid underlying foundation. Also, no security or privacy vulnerabilities have been reported.

Areas for Improvement

The accumulation of usability and accessibility issues on the HOME page diminishes the user experience and indicates a lack of attention to detail in design and testing.

Quality Summary

HOME



https://testers.ai

Detailed Analysis

The Testers.ai HOME page reveals several areas needing attention. While the core functionality isn't explicitly broken, the number of 'general', 'layout', 'Usability', and 'WCAG' tagged bugs signals a need for refinement. The combination of outdated copyright, layout problems on mobile, and ambiguous links ('Learn More →') diminishes the overall user experience. The absence of sufficient alternative text for images presents a clear accessibility barrier. The inconsistent styling and button usage is simply careless. Prioritizing accessibility fixes, particularly focus indicators and alt text, alongside mobile layout improvements, would provide immediate gains.

Key Suggestions

- Conduct a thorough accessibility audit to identify and address all WCAG violations.
- Implement a design system to ensure consistent styling and button usage across the entire website.
- Prioritize mobile optimization to ensure a seamless experience on all devices.
- Incorporate usability testing with diverse user groups (including those with disabilities) to identify areas for improvement.
- Establish a process for regularly reviewing and updating website content, including the copyright year and image alt text.

Priority Improvements

- Implement clear and sufficient focus indicators for all interactive elements**** (WCAG, Keyboard Navigation): This directly addresses accessibility and usability, impacting keyboard users and those with visual impairments.
- Provide meaningful alternative text for all images**** (WCAG, Accessibility, Usability): Enhances accessibility for screen reader users and improves SEO.
- Resolve horizontal scrolling issue on mobile devices**** (Layout): Improves the mobile user experience, a critical factor for modern websites.
- Standardize link text and button usage throughout the page**** (Usability, General): Creates a more consistent and intuitive user interface.
- Update the copyright year to the current year**** (General): A minor detail that can significantly improve the site's perceived credibility.

Issues Found by AI Testers



HOME

<p>Adeela Mobile Responsive Tester</p> <p>Horizontal Scroll on Mobile Priority: 7/10 Confidence: 8/10</p> <p>general layout</p> <p>Why is this a bug? On the mobile view, the content overflows the screen's width, causing a horizontal scroll. This behavior is undesirable as it requires users to scroll both vertically and horizontally to view all content, negatively impacting the user experience.</p> <p>Suggested Fix Implement proper media queries to ensure the content fits within the mobile screen's width. Consider using a responsive grid system or flexbox to adjust the layout dynamically. Ensure images and other large elements scale appropriately.</p> <p>Why Fix This? Horizontal scrolling on mobile devices makes it difficult for users to read and interact with the content. Fixing this will significantly improve the mobile user experience and accessibility.</p> <p>Route To Frontend Engineer</p>	<p>Sharon API and Networking Tester</p> <p>Suspicious Timestamp in QualityWorks Logo URL Priority: 7/10 Confidence: 8/10</p> <p>general caching</p> <p>Why is this a bug? The URL 'https://qualityworkscg.com/wp-content/uploads/logo-qualityworks-ret.png?1737058612' contains a timestamp ('1737058612') as a query parameter. Using timestamps as cache-busters is generally poor practice and might point to underlying caching misconfigurations or a poorly managed versioning strategy for static assets. It's highly unusual to see such an early timestamp still in use in April 2025, suggesting a problem with cache invalidation or asset management. The timestamp represents January 17, 2025 which should indicate a library or image that should have already been updated. This suggests a very high-priority issue.</p> <p>Suggested Fix Investigate the caching strategy for static assets, specifically the QualityWorks logo. Implement proper cache invalidation techniques or a more robust asset versioning system (e.g., content-based hashing).</p> <p>Why Fix This? Ensuring assets are served with appropriate caching policies and invalidation strategies is vital for optimizing page load times and reducing server load. Using timestamps is a poor practice, and the fact it's from January in April indicates a missed update.</p> <p>Route To Frontend Engineer/DevOps</p> <p>Relevant Network Call</p> <pre>https://qualityworkscg.com/wp-content/uploads/logo-qualityworks-ret.png?1737058612</pre>	<p>Alejandro Accessibility Tester</p> <p>Insufficient Focus Indicator for some interactive elements Priority: 7/10 Confidence: 7/10</p> <p>WCAG Keyboard Navigation</p> <p>Why is this a bug? Some interactive elements on the page, particularly the 'plan-button' elements, may not have a sufficiently visible focus indicator when navigated to using the keyboard. This makes it difficult for keyboard users to understand which element is currently selected, violating WCAG 2.4.7 Focus Visible.</p> <p>Suggested Fix Ensure all interactive elements, including buttons and links, have a clear and highly visible focus indicator (e.g., a contrasting border or background color change) that meets WCAG 2.4.7.</p> <p>Why Fix This? Users who rely on keyboard navigation, especially those with visual impairments or cognitive disabilities, may not be able to effectively interact with the page if they cannot determine which element is in focus.</p> <p>Route To Frontend Engineer</p>
---	--	---

AI Generated Functional Test Results

Start Page: HOME




Search with valid query

Test searching with a valid search query to verify that relevant results are returned. Tests the primary function of the search bar.




Click on the 'Buy Now' button under a plan and verify the link

This test verifies that the 'Buy Now' buttons under each plan lead to the correct destination. It ensures that users can easily navigate to the purchase page for each plan. The expected result is that clicking the 'Buy Now' button navigates the user to the appropriate purchase page.



Click on a question in the FAQ section and verify that the answer is displayed

This test verifies that the FAQ section is working correctly, where clicking on a question reveals its corresponding answer. It checks for basic interactive functionality and ensures that users can easily find answers to common questions. The expected result is that clicking on a question reveals its answer.



User Persona Feedback Summary

HOME



The webpage aims to introduce and sell testers.ai, an AI-powered testing tool for web applications. It highlights its features, benefits, pricing plans, and integration options.

<p>Overall Score</p> <p>★★★★★</p> <p>The site provides compelling reasons to try the AI testing agents, especially with the free trial. The design is modern and appealing, but some areas could be more streamlined and the value proposition could be emphasized further.</p>	<p>Visual Design</p> <p>★★★★★</p> <p>I find the visual design quite appealing. The colors are modern and the layout is easy to follow.</p>	<p>Usability</p> <p>★★★★★</p> <p>The site is generally easy to navigate, but the pricing plans could be clearer. More information about the different features of each plan would be helpful.</p>	<p>Content Quality</p> <p>★★★★★</p> <p>The content is informative and highlights the benefits of using AI for testing. However, it could be more concise and focused on the key value propositions.</p>
--	---	--	--

Individual User Persona Feedback

<p>Priya Sharma, 32</p> <p>Persona: Priya is a Lead QA Engineer at a fast-growing e-commerce startup. She has 8 years of experience in manual and automated testing. She is responsible for ensuring the quality of web applications and APIs.</p> <p>Overall Rating</p> <p>★★★★★</p> <p><i>I like the features that are shown. I am wondering about integration with my existing tests. Is there a way to migrate tests from Cypress or Selenium into the tool? Or am I starting from scratch?</i></p> <p>Actions Performed</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Explore the features of the Pro plan Schedule a demo to discuss integration with CI/CD pipeline <p>Suggestions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Add customer testimonials to build trust Include a feature comparison chart to highlight advantages Provide more information about Enterprise Plan Support and Integration 	<p>David Miller, 45</p> <p>Persona: David is a seasoned software developer with 15 years of experience. He's currently a senior developer at a medium-sized company and is responsible for maintaining and improving existing codebases. He is interested in new development strategies.</p> <p>Overall Rating</p> <p>★★★★★</p> <p><i>The website looks fine, but I'm skeptical about how much AI can really help with testing. I've seen a lot of tools promise the world and then underdeliver. What is the confidence level for the bug detections, and how many false positives are reported?</i></p> <p>Actions Performed</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Read about the API testing capabilities Check if it integrates with CI/CD. <p>Suggestions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide more technical details about the AI algorithms Include evidence of the tool's effectiveness, such as case studies or performance benchmarks Offer a more objective comparison with other testing tools Provide an example of the output of the tool
---	--

Print Report